

Opportunities for Understanding Bovine Respiratory Disease Mechanisms through Genetic Studies

Holly L. Neibergs

Department of Animal Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA

neibergs@wsu.edu

Running title: Mechanisms of Disease

Abstract

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD) continues to challenge the beef and dairy cattle industries in spite of improved husbandry practices, implementation of immunization protocols for BRD pathogens, and the recent use of genomic selection to choose cattle less susceptible to infection. Barriers of overcoming challenges in reducing BRD incidence include difficulties in: identifying animals suffering from BRD without showing clinical signs, identifying and understanding the complexity of multiple pathogens effecting the animal, accounting for differences in frequencies of pathogens in different climates and management schemes, and an understanding for the interaction of these factors with the animal's genome. As BRD susceptibility has been identified as having a genetic component, genomic selection is an attractive approach to reducing cattle that are susceptible to BRD. Genome-wide association analyses have identified genomic regions associated with BRD susceptibility for use in genomic selection. Genomic selection using DNA variants that capture most of the genetic variation for BRD susceptibility enables identifying cattle susceptible to BRD as calves to increase the rate of genetic change for BRD resistance. Gene set enrichment analysis and gene expression analyses have also been investigated to understand the genes and gene pathways utilized in resistance to BRD. These studies provide an opportunity to better

26 understand BRD disease mechanisms and to develop therapies that are more effective by targeting the
27 gene pathways utilized by BRD pathogens.

28

29 Keywords: bovine respiratory disease, genetics, disease mechanisms

30

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD) remains a health issue in feedlots, particularly with the trend for cattle to arrive lighter and have more days on feed before harvest. Since 2010, cattle weights at arrival are lower by 43 pounds than in 2023, yet final cattle weights average 140 pounds higher than in 2010 (Peel 2023; USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service). Cattle are averaging 187 days on feed, which is 39 days more in 2023 than in 2010. This extended time in the feedlot reduces the number of cattle in the feedlot over a year's time, increasing the financial impact of cattle getting sick or dying (Peel 2023). The financial loss from sick and dying cattle has increased as the average steer death loss rate increased 56% from 2010 to 1.93% in 2023, making disease prevention a high priority in the feedlot (Peel 2023). Cattle that become sick later in the feeding period are costlier to the feedlot than cattle that are sick shortly after arrival due to the increased cost of treatment and the increasing cumulative investment made in the animal. In a study conducted in two feedlots, 45% and 39% of BRD pulls occurred after 100 days on feed (Neibergs personal communication). Even for cattle that recovered from BRD, they remained on feed an average of 10 days longer and averaged 20 pounds lighter at harvest than non-BRD calves. The economic impact of BRD morbidity has been suggested to be as great as carcass and production traits during the finishing period (Buchanan et al., 2016).

In addition to the economic losses that face feedlots, BRD also remains economically important for dairy producers. Economic losses occur from treatment costs, but also from the lifetime decrease in production and higher rate of culling of cows that experienced BRD as calves. BRD remains a leading cause of preweaned mortality in dairy calves with an estimated cost of \$252 per incident or \$395.49 per death attributed to BRD (Dubrovsky et al., 2020; Overton 2020). Depending on the study and how BRD is detected, BRD is found in 12% to 64% of calves prior to weaning and 6 to 11% in weaned calves (Guterbock 2014; Cuevas-Gomez et al., 2021; Cantor & Costa, 2022).

54

55 A genetic component for BRD has been reported and ranges from 0.04 to 0.24 (Snowder et al., 2006;
56 Neibergs et al. 2014; Quick et al., 2020). Genome-wide association studies have identified genomic regions
57 that are predictive of BRD infection using different approaches to identifying BRD susceptibility (Neibergs
58 et al 2014; Van Eenennaam et al., 2014; Keele et al., 2015; Kiser et al., 2017). Some studies have used one
59 or more detection method which may improve sensitivity and specificity, while others have used more
60 general phenotypes such as “treated for BRD”. Some commonly used methods for BRD detection are the
61 Wisconsin Health Scoring System (sensitivity 46% - 77.9%, specificity 74.1 to 94.2%), the California System
62 (sensitivity 46.8 -72.6%, specificity 79.1-84.4%), thoracic ultrasound (sensitivity 76.5%, specificity 92.9%)
63 and thoracic auscultation (sensitivity 53, specificity 72.9%) (Buczinski et al, 2015; Buczinski et al., 2016;
64 Love et al., 2016; Decaris et al., 2022). The use of detection systems that rely solely on clinical symptoms
65 of BRD will underestimate the true proportion of cattle with disease as a large number of cattle will appear
66 healthy but have subclinical disease (Gulliksen et al., 2009; Kiser et al., 2017).

67

68 While there are justifications for the use of all these methods, it will influence the loci identified as
69 associated with BRD and ultimately the accuracy of selection of animals based on those loci. Loci that are
70 robust and consistently associated with BRD susceptibility are important to identify and use in prediction
71 for selection against disease susceptibility. Accuracies for selection range from 0.23 in Angus cattle in the
72 feedlot to 0.12 to 0.30 in Holstein dairy calves (Quick et al., 2020; Hayes et al., 2024).

73

74 A second consideration when assessing the validation and importance of the loci identified that are
75 associated with BRD is pathogen identification that are common in the population that is being studied.
76 Assessing the pathogen(s) that initiated the disease is challenging as the pathogens present when clinical

77 symptoms are noted, may differ from those that initiated the onset of disease. Profiling BRD pathogens is
78 challenging in the timing and collection of specimens for diagnostic tests, and few genetic studies have
79 this information to inform the loci associated with disease. Summary diagnostic results for BRD pathogens
80 across the US are not readily available for cattle newly diagnosed, and so the frequency of these
81 pathogens in BRD cases is unknown. The distribution of pathogens is further complicated by differences
82 in management practices, vaccines and the environment. Efforts are underway to collect diagnostic data
83 on approximately 5500 cattle in different regions of the US to tease apart the important role of pathogen
84 specific susceptibility in cattle and to begin to identify if there are specific pathogens that are more
85 prevalent in certain areas of the US (Neibergs personal communication).

86
87 In addition to genome-wide association studies, gene expression and gene set enrichment analyses are
88 identifying gene pathways that are critical to BRD (Tizioto et al., 2015; Neupane et al., 2018; Sun et al.,
89 2020; Hasankhani et al., 2021; Lebedev et al., 2021; Cao et al. 2023). A better understanding of these gene
90 pathways provides opportunities for targeted therapies to decrease morbidity and mortality rates
91 associated with BRD in cattle and to better understand the mechanisms of bovine respiratory disease.

92
93 In summary, efforts to reduce the frequency of BRD and the impact it has on beef and dairy cattle have
94 not been fully effective. The continued exploration of loci associated with BRD provides the foundation
95 for genomic selection to enhance disease resistance in cattle. The identification of the pathways and genes
96 that are utilized for BRD resistance hold promise for identifying the mechanisms of the disease that can
97 be used to treat cattle with disease. The use of these genetic approaches provides a management tool to
98 reduce the frequency of BRD and the economic losses associated with it.

100

101 **References**

- 102 Buchanan JW, MacNeil MD, Raymond RC, McClain AR, and Van Eenennaam AL (2016) Rapid
103 communication: Variance component estimates for Charolais-sired fed cattle and relative economic
104 impact of bovine respiratory disease. *Journal of Animal Science* 94: 5456-5460.
- 105 Dubrovsky SA, Van Eenennaam AL, Aly SS, Karle BM, Rossitto PV, Overton MS, Lehenbauer TW, and
106 Fadel JG (2020) Preweaning cost of bovine respiratory disease (BRD) and cost-benefit of implementation
107 of preventative measures in calves on California dairies: the BRD 10K study. *Journal of Dairy Science* 103:
108 1583-1597
- 109 Buczinski S, Ménard J, and Timsit E (2016) Incremental value (Bayesian framework) of thoracic
110 ultrasonography over thoracic auscultation for diagnosis of bronchopneumonia in preweaned dairy
111 calves. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine* 30: 1396-1401.
- 112 Buczinski S, Ollivett TL, and Dendukuri N (2015) Bayesian estimation of the accuracy of the calf
113 respiratory scoring chart and ultrasonography for the diagnosis of bovine respiratory disease in pre-
114 weaned dairy calves. *Preventative Veterinary Medicine* 119: 227-231.
- 115 Cantor MC, and Costa JHC (2022) Daily behavioral measures recorded by precision technology devices
116 may indicate bovine respiratory disease status in preweaned dairy calves. *Journal of Dairy Science* 105:
117 6070-6082.
- 118 Cao H, Fang Chao, Wang Q, Liu L-L, and Liu W-J (2023) Transcript characteristics on the susceptibility
119 difference of bovine respiratory disease. *International Journal of Genomics* 9934684

120 Cuevas-Gómez I, Mcgee M, Sánchez JM, O’Riordan E, Byrne N, McDanel T, and Earley B (2021)
 121 Association between clinical respiratory signs, lung lesions detected by thoracic ultrasonography and
 122 growth performance in pre-weaned dairy calves. Irish Veterinary Journal 74: 7
 123 Decaris N, Buczinski S, Tárdon DIC, Camargo L, Schllemer NR, Hagen SCF, Woolums AR, and Gomes V
 124 (2022) Diagnostic accuracy of Wisconsin and California scoring systems to detect bovine respiratory
 125 disease in preweaning dairy calves under subtropical environmental conditions. Journal of Dairy Science
 126 104: 7750-7763.
 127 Gulliksen SM, Lie KI, and Østerås O (2009) Calf health monitoring in Norwegian dairy herds. Journal of
 128 Dairy Science 92: 1660-1669.
 129 Gutterbock WM (2014) The impact of BRD: the current dairy experience. Animal Health Research 15:
 130 130-134
 131 Hasankhani A, Bahrami A, Sheybani N, Fatehi F, Abadeh R, Farahani HGM, Behzadi MRB, Javanmard G,
 132 Isapour S, Khadem H, Barkema HW (2021) Integrated network analysis to identify key modules and
 133 potential hub genes involved in bovine respiratory disease: A systems biology approach. Frontiers in
 134 Genetics 12:
 135 Hayes BJ, Duff CJ, Hine BC, and Mahony TJ (2024) Genomic estimated breeding values for bovine
 136 respiratory disease resistance in Angus cattle. Journal of Animal Science 102: 113.
 137 Keele JW, Kuehn LA, McDanel TG, Tait RG, Jones SA, Smith TP, Shackelford SD, King DA, Wheeler TL,
 138 Lindholm-Perry AK, and McNeel AK (2015) Genomewide association study of lung lesions in cattle using
 139 sample pooling. Journal of Animal Science 93: 956-964

140 Kiser JN, and Neibergs HL (2021) Identifying loci associated with bovine corona virus infection and
 141 bovine respiratory disease in dairy and feedlot cattle. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*
 142 DOI:[10.3389/fvets.2021.679074](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.679074)

143 Kiser JN, Lawrence TE, Neupane M, Seabury CM, Taylor JF, Womack JE, Bovine Respiratory Disease
 144 Complex Coordinated Agricultural Project Research Team, and Neibergs HL (2017) Rapid
 145 communications: Subclinical bovine respiratory disease – loci and pathogens associated with lung
 146 lesions in feedlot cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 95: 1080-1091

147 Lebedev M, McEligot HA, Mutua VN, Walsh P, Chaigneau FRC and Gershwin LJ (2021) Analysis of lung
 148 transcriptome in calves infected with bovine respiratory syncytial virus and treated with antiviral and/or
 149 cyclooxygenase inhibitor. *PLOS ONE* 16(2): e0246695

150 Li J, Mukiibi R, Jiminez J, Wang Z, Akanno EC, Timsit E, and Plastow GS (2022) Applying multi-omics data
 151 to study the genetic background of bovine respiratory disease infection in feedlot crossbred cattle.
 152 *Frontiers Genetics* 11

153 Love WJ, Lehenbauer TW, Van Eenennaam AL, Drake CM, Kass PH, Farver TB and Aly SS (2016)
 154 Sensitivity and specificity of on-farm scoring systems and nasal culture to detect bovine respiratory
 155 disease complex in preweaned dairy calves. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation* 28: 119-128.

156 Neibergs HL, Seabury CM, Wojtowicz, AJ, Wang Z, Scraggs, E, Kiser JN, Neupane M, Womack JE, Van
 157 Eenennaam A, Hagevoort GR, Lehenbauer TW, Aly S, Davis J, Taylor JF (2014) Susceptibility loci revealed
 158 for bovine respiratory disease in pre-weaned Holstein calves. *BMC Genomics* 14: 1164

159 Neupane M, Kiser JN, Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex Coordinated Agriculture Project Research
 160 Team, and Neibergs HL (2018) *Animal Genetics* 49: 527-538

161 Overton MW (2020) Economics of respiratory disease in dairy replacement heifers *Animal Health*
 162 *Research Review* 21:143-148

163 Peel DS (2023) Feedlot trends in management and productivity. Oklahoma State University Extension
 164 *Cow-Calf Corner Newsletter* December 4 2023

165 Quick AE, Ollivett TL, Kirkpatrick BW, and Wiegel KA (2020) Genomic analysis of bovine respiratory
 166 disease and lung consolidation in preweaned Holstein calves using clinical scoring and lung ultrasound.
 167 *Journal of Dairy Science* 103: 1632-1641.

168 Scott MA, Woolums AR, Swiderski CE, Perkins AD and Nanduri B (2021) Genes and regulatory
 169 mechanisms associated with experimentally-induced bovine respiratory disease identified using
 170 supervised machine learning methodology. *Scientific Reports* 11: 22916

171 Snowden GD, Van Vleck LD, Cundiff LV, Bennett GL (2006) Bovine respiratory disease in feedlot cattle:
 172 environmental, genetic and economic factors. *Journal of Animal Science* 84: 1999-2008

173 Sun H-Z, Srithayakumar V, Jiminez J, Jin W, Hosseini A, Raszek M, Orsel K, Guan LL, and Plastow G. (2020)
 174 Longitudinal blood transcriptomic analysis to identify molecular regulatory patterns of bovine
 175 respiratory disease in beef cattle. *Genomics* 112: 3968-3977.

176 Tizioto PC, Kim JW, Seabury CM, Schnabel RD, Gershwin LJ, Van Eenennaam AL, Toaff-Rosenstein R,
 177 Neibergs HL Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex Coordinated Project Research Team, and Taylor JF
 178 (2015) Immunological response to single pathogen challenge with agents of the bovine respiratory
 179 disease complex: An RNA-sequence analysis of the bronchial lymph node transcriptome. *PLOS ONE* 0(6):
 180 e0131459

181 USDA (2011) *Livestock Slaughter 2010 Summary*. National Agricultural Statistics Service ISSN: 0499-0544.

182 Van Eenenaam A, Neibergs H, Seabury C, Taylor J, Wang Z, Scraggs E, Schnabel RD, Decker J, Wojowicz A,
183 Aly S, Davis J, Blanchard P, Crossley B, Rossitto P, Lehenbauer T, Hagevoort R, Chavez E, Neibergs JS, and
184 Womack JE. Results of the BRD CAP project: progress toward identifying genetic markers associated with
185 BRD susceptibility. *Animal Health Research Reviews* 2: 157-160